

March 3, 2011

CITY OF ALEXANDRIA OFFICE OF HOUSING Mildrilyn Davis, Director





RKG Associates, Inc.

Guiding Principles Common to All Groups

- Achieve mix of incomes/demographics/range of affordability within developments (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)
- Achieve geographic distribution of housing types/fair share/deconcentration of Res. 830 units (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)
- Strive for proximity to transit, including bus lines; improve walkability (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)



Guiding Principles Common to All Groups

- Focus on redevelopment areas with greatest potential for increased density/mixed use, current/future metro areas (PY, Eisenhower, Landmark/VD, Hunting Towers/Terrace, Beauregard) (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)
- Strive for proximity to employment, schools, everyday needs;
 integrate services with housing (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)



Guiding Principles - Common/Diverging Themes

- Consider single family neighborhoods for group homes and accessory dwelling units or other affordable housing (1, 2, 3)
- Achieve mixed use combine schools, housing, commercial (4, 5)
- Coordinate with current city planning initiatives (2, 3)
- Consider housing/transportation costs together (3)
- Balance need for more density in transportation corridors with current traffic (3)



Guiding Principles - Common/Diverging Themes

- Recognize areas that are not practical (2)
- Accept higher density if it means more units can be built near amenities (3)
- Add more resolution 830 units (3)
- Retain existing housing to the extent possible (4)



Exercise 2: Factoring Cost /Continuation of Ex. 1 discussion

- Need to take cost into account if we want to ensure affordable housing goals are met (3, 4)
- Extra cost does not outweigh established guiding principles (5)
- Cost will change as transportation corridors redevelop (5)
- Cost must be balanced with other factors (2)
- Moving Resolution 830 units to other areas tends to be more expensive (2)
- Focus new units on persons with disabilities, seniors/aging (3)





Exercise 2: Factoring Cost /Continuation of Ex. 1 discussion

- Consider areas with potential for increased density and for mixed use (2)
- Require affordable and increased density in new construction near Metro(2)
- Allow more density in high cost areas to preserve existing AH (3)
- Require more deeply subsidized AH from developers of high cost areas in return for increased density (3)
- Use all new construction to proffer affordable housing (2)
- Leverage resources such as ARHA land, city owned land (4)

Developer must also contribute to adequate roads/infrastructure if given

increased density (3)

- Need bigger share of dev. contribution (4)
- Balance demands on developers (4)
- Build smaller, less costly units (4)
- Maximize new devt. opportunities (4)



Exercise 2: Factoring Cost /Continuation of Ex. 1 discussion

- Minimize impact on taxpayers in Alexandria (2)
- Preserve existing/add more units in high cost areas, even if it requires higher density (3)
- All Resolution 830 units should remain/be replaced in same
 SAP – connection to community is important (3)
- Redistribute from Metro areas to establish better mix of housing in Alex. West (potential redevt. area) (1)













